ASSESSMENT RUBRIC STUDENT::		ITEMS BELOW ARE, AS APPLICABLE, REQUIRED - ANY MISSING ITEM RESULTS IN INSTANT FAILURE OF THIS ASSESSMENT.											
		□ ARTIST STATEMENT □ RESUMÉ □ JUNIOR PROPOSAL □ PORTFOLIO □ PHOTO RESEARCH REPORT								EPORT			
AREA::		NOTE: 5	.5 and abo	ve is passir	ng on individu	al compe	tency scale.	. A final scor	e of 55 or a	bove is requ	ired to pass	this Asses	ssment.
			EPTABLE	UNDERD	UNDERDEVELOPED		INCONSISTENT 5.5 PASSING		G ABOVE AVERAGE		OUTSTANDING		TOTAL BY ROW
	CRITERIA ASSESSED	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
COMMUNICATION SKILLS // COMPETENCY 1 :: The ability to write and speak about art, design, and art history with clarity and logic, and be able to form and support critical judgements about art, design and art history.	Presentation preparation	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Articulation of ideas	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Persuasiveness	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Clarity / Quality of writing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Clarity / Quality of speaking	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Correlation of statement w/ work	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				STUDENT	SCORE	÷ MA	X. SCORE	= _	x w	/EIGHTED	% =	SKILL 1 SCORE
FORMAL & TECHNICAL SKILLS // COMPETENCY 2:: The technical mastery of the relevant materials and tools, and the terminology, theories, and practices relevant to the student's field of study.	Use of relevant terminology	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Application of relevant theories	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Application of Design Elements	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Application of Design Principles	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Exploration of materials	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Exploration of Form	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Evidence of Inspiration	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				STUDENTS	SCORE	÷ MA	X. SCORE	= _	x w	EIGHTED	% =	SKILL 2 SCORE
CONCEPTUAL SKILLS //	Quality of concept	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
COMPETENCY 3 :: The ability to generate, support, and utilize	Concept exploration & generation	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
individual thoughts and ideas.	Concept editing and development	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				STUDENTS	SCORE	÷ MA	X. SCORE	= _	x w	'EIGHTED	% =	SKILL 3 SCORE
CRITICAL THINKING & RESEARCH SKILLS // COMPETENCY 4:: The ability to do research in art, design, and art history, and the competence and knowledge to analyze and think critically.	Research	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Problem analysis	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Originality	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				STUDENT S	SCORE	÷ MA	X. SCORE	= _	x w	EIGHTED	% =	SKILL 4 SCORE
HISTORICAL & CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE // COMPETENCY 5:: The expanding knowledge of historical achievements and contemporary thinking, trends, processes, and issues in art and design.	Knowledge of history	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, or methodologies	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				STUDENTS	SCORE	÷ MA	X. SCORE	=	x w	EIGHTED	% =	SKILL 5 SCORE
								TOTAL STUDENT ASSESSMENT SCORE  PASS / FAIL / CONDITIONAL FAIL - REASSESSMENT DATE					

## ASSESSMENT RUBRIC CRITERIA

	UNACCEPTABLE	UNACCEPTABLE UNDERDEVELOPED		ABOVE AVERAGE	OUTSTANDING		
COMPETENCY 1 COMMUNICATIONS SKILLS	Presentations not prepared.  Ideas not articulated effectively, often rambled.  Not persuasive in ideas and/or arguments.  Numerous spelling and grammatical errors, with awkward, unclear writings.  Artist Statement and /or Senior Project proposal is unclear, informative, and immature.  Work does not reflect the Artist Statement or vice versa.  Work does not communicate idea/concept.  Unsupported opinions and/or borrowed opinions.	Not well developed presentations. Articulation of ideas is unclear, often rambles. Rarely persuasive in ideas and/or arguments. Many spelling and grammatical errors, with many awkward, unclear writings. Artist Statement and/or Senior Project proposal is not completely clear, informative, and mature. Work is not a clear reflection of the Artist Statement or vice versa. Work does not effectively communicate idea/concept. Too many unsupported opinions and/or borrowed opinions.	Uneven presentations. Uneven articulation of ideas, Sometimes persuasive in ideas and/ or arguments. Some spelling and grammatical errors, with some awkward, unclear writings. Artist Statement and/or Senior Project proposal is uneven: sometimes clear, informative, and mature, and sometimes not. Work is an uneven reflection of the Artist Statement or vice versa. Work somewhat communicates idea/concept. Opinions are not always well supported. Opinions need to be more original.	Usually successful presentations. Usually articulates ideas well. Usually persuasive in ideas and/or arguments. Usually persuades one to ideas or argument. No spelling and grammatical errors, with a few unclear sentences. Artist Statement and/or Senior Project proposal is mostly clear, informative, and mature. Work mostly reflects the Artist Statement or vice versa. Work mostly communicates idea/concept effectively. Usually well-support and original opinions.	Consistently successful presentations. Consistently articulated ideas well. Persuasive in ideas and/or argument. No spelling and grammatical errors, with no unclear sentences. Well-supported opinions. Artist Statement and/or Senior Project proposal is clear, informative, and mature. Work reflects the Artist Statement or vice versa. Work communicates idea/concept effectively. Well-supported and original opinions.		
COMPETENCY 2 FORMAL & TECHNICAL SKILLS	No use of the relevant terminology.  Incorrect or no application of relevant theories.  Incorrect or no use of one or more of the Principles of Design: balance/proportion/rhythm/unity.  Consistently unsuccessful use of one or more of the Elements of Design: point/line/form/shape/space/movement/color/pattern/texture.  Little or no exploration of relevant materials and/or methods.  Little or no exploration of form.  Uninspired work.	☐ Incorrect use of the relevant terminology. ☐ Usually unsuccessful application of relevant theories. ☐ Usually unsuccessful use of one or more of the Principles of Design: balance/proportion/rhythm/unity. ☐ Usually unsuccessful use of one or more of the Elements of Design: point/line/form/shape/space/movement/color/pattern/texture. ☐ Limited exploration of relevant materials and/or methods. ☐ Weak exploration of form. ☐ Usually uninspired work.	□ Inconsistent use of the relevant terminology. □ Application of relevant theories is sometimes successful. □ Successful use of the Principles of Design—balance/proportion/rhythm/unity—is uneven. □ Successful use of one or more of the Elements of Design—point/line/form/shape/space/movement/color/pattern/texture—is uneven. □ Inconsistent exploration of relevant materials and/or methods. □ Uneven exploration of form. □ Flashes of inspiration in work.	Usually successful use of the relevant terminology.  Usually successful application of relevant theories.  Usually successful use of the Principles of Design: balance/proportion/rhythm/unity.  Usually successful use of the Elements of Design: point/line/form/shape/space/movement/color/pattern/texture.  Usually successful exploration of relevant materials and/or methods.  Average to above average exploration of form.  Usually inspired work	Consistently successful use of the relevant terminology.  Consistently successful application of relevant theories.  Consistently successful use of the Principles of Design: balance/proportion/rhythm/unity.  Consistently successful use of the Elements of Design: point/line/form/shape/space/movement/color/pattern/texture.  Consistently successful exploration of relevant materials and/or methods.  Outstanding exploration of form.  Consistently inspired work		
COMPETENCY 3 CONCEPTUAL SKILLS	☐ Cliché or uninspired concept. ☐ No concept exploration and generation. ☐ No concept editing & development. ☐ Inability to: ☐ describe how formal properties support content. ☐ discuss meaning making through metaphor and/or symbolism. ☐ discuss the effects of context on content.	Nearly cliché or uninspired concept. Weak concept exploration and generation. Weak concept editing & development. Weak ability to: — describe how formal properties support content. — discuss meaning making through metaphor and/or symbolism. — discuss the effects of context on content.	Concepts are sometime cliché, and sometimes not. Uneven concept exploration and generation. Inconsistent concept editing & development. Inconsistent ability to: - describe how formal properties support content discuss meaning making through metaphor and/or symbolism discuss the effects of context on content.	Concepts are usually original. Concept exploration & generation is usually above average. Average to above average concept editing & development. Usually successful ability to: - describe how formal properties support content discuss meaning making through metaphor and/or symbolism discuss the effects of context on content.	☐ Engaging concept. ☐ Outstanding concept exploration & generation. ☐ Outstanding concept editing & development. ☐ Outstanding ability to: ☐ describe how formal properties support content. ☐ discuss meaning making through metaphor and/or symbolism. ☐ discuss the effects of context on content.		
COMPETENCY 4 CRITICAL THINKING & RESEARCH SKILLS	Little or no research. Poor problem analysis & exploration. Worked without clear path in mind. Poor use of research. Ideas lacked imagination. Relied on ideas of peers & faculty for concepts without developing own.	Underdeveloped research.  Underdeveloped problem analysis & exploration.  Path to completed project usually faltered.  Minimal use research.  Ideas underdeveloped & needed more exploration.  Didn't consider input from peers & faculty.	☐ Inconsistent research. ☐ Inconsistent problem analysis & exploration. ☐ Path to completed project sometimes faltered. ☐ Use of research was inconsistent. ☐ Inconsistent development and exploration of ideas. ☐ Mostly ignored the input from peers & faculty.	Usually effective research. Usually successful problem analysis & exploration. Path to completed project mostly stayed on track. Usually effective use data. Usually effective development and exploration of ideas. Usually considered the input from peers & faculty.	☐ Effective research. ☐ Effective problem analysis & exploration. ☐ Path to completed project consistently on track. ☐ Effective use data. ☐ Effective development and exploration of ideas. ☐ Thoughtfully considered the input from peers & faculty.		
COMPETENCY 5 HISTORICAL & CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE  © WADE LOUGH 2012	☐ Little or no demonstrated knowledge of relevant history. ☐ Little or no demonstrated knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, and/or methodologies.	☐ Demonstrated knowledge of relevant history was limited. ☐ Demonstrated knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, and/or methodologies was limited.	☐ Demonstrated knowledge of relevant history was inconsistent. ☐ Demonstrated knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, and/or methodologies was inconsistent.	<ul> <li>□ Demonstrated knowledge of history was mostly effective.</li> <li>□ Demonstrated knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, and/or methodologies was mostly effective.</li> </ul>	□ Demonstrated knowledge of history was effective. □ Demonstrated knowledge of contemporary thinking, trends, issues, and/or methodologies was effective.		